

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/800,438	03/15/2004	Jonathan W. Burks	NLL101	8951
30245 7:	590 . 10/04/2006		EXAMINER	
ANTHONY EDW. J CAMPBELL			. COHEN, LEE S	
PO BOX 160370 AUSTIN, TX 78716			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3739 .	
			DATE MAILED: 10/04/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/800,438	BURKS, JONATHAN W.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Lee S. Cohen	3739			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Se	•				
/ <del></del>	· <del></del>				
,—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1955 C.D. 11, 45	13 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) 10-12,14,19 and 20 is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) <u>1-9,13 and 15-18</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement				
are subject to restriction and of	r cicolion requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicati	on No			
<ol><li>Copies of the certified copies of the prior</li></ol>	·	ed in this National Stage			
application from the International Bureau					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	d.			
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/800,438 Page 2

Art Unit: 3739

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

Claims 10-12, 14, 19, and 20 stand withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made with traverse in the reply filed on September 14, 2006. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)). Claims 14 and 19 have been included with the withdrawn claims since the limitations recited therein are only disclosed with the nonelected embodiment shown in upper right quadrant of Figure 5.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 2, 3, 6-14, and 16-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 6, last line – "said bisecting perforation" lacks antecedent basis. Claim 7, last line – "said bisecting slit" lacks antecedent basis. Claim 16, last line – "said bisecting perforation" lacks antecedent basis. Claim 17, last line – "said bisecting slit" lacks antecedent basis.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/800,438

Art Unit: 3739

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 3, 4, 9, and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly by Minogue (6,134,480). The disclosed assembly includes body 13 with lead attachments 18,19 inserted through holes in the body. The bottom surface includes an electrically conductive adhesive. The attachments can be metal-coated plastic (col. 3, line 9).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2, 5, 8, 15, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Minogue (6,134,480) in view of Keusch et al (4,777,954). Minogue fails to disclose the particular material for the body and the use of a peel-off backing. Keusch et al disclose such features to be conventional in the art at column 19, lines 45-55. Accordingly, the use of these features in Minogue would have been obvious to the skilled artisan since they relate only to design expedients.

Claims 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Minogue (6,134,480) in view of Schaefer et al (5,255,677). Minogue fails to disclose an isolation perforation or slit in the body as well as the particular shapes. Schaefer et al disclose such features (elements 42 and 56) to be well known in the art. Accordingly, the use of a perforation or slit in Minogue would have been obvious to the skilled artisan to permit separation of

Application/Control Number: 10/800,438

Art Unit: 3739

electrodes if the practitioner so desires. Further, the use of a rectangle or double square shape would also have been mere design expedients since varying shapes are disclosed by Schaefer et al.

Claims 16 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Minogue (6,134,480) in view of Keusch et al (4,777,954) as set forth supra, and further in view of Schaefer et al (5,255,677). The use of a perforation or slit as shown by Schaefer et al has been detailed supra.

Claims 1-9 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schaefer et al (5,255,677) in view of Keusch et al (4,777,954). Schaefer et al disclose the basic electrode assembly (Figures 5 and 11) including an isolation perforation or slit in the body as well as varying shapes. Schaefer et al fail to disclose the use of insertion holes with lead attachments. Keusch et al disclose such features to be conventional in the art at column 19, lines 45-55. Accordingly, the use of these features in Minogue would have been obvious to the skilled artisan since they only relate to well known electrical attachments for electrodes to transmit signals. Further, the use of a rectangle or double square shape would also have been mere design expedients since varying shapes are disclosed by Schaefer et al.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lee S. Cohen whose telephone number is 571-272-4763. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 7:00-3:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Linda Dvorak can be reached on 571-272-4764. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/800,438 Page 5

Art Unit: 3739

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Lee S. Cohen

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3739

LSC

September 27, 2006